

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/589,102	KIM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		MARCIA S. NOBLE	1632	

All Participants:

(1) MARCIA S. NOBLE.

(2) Tanya Arenson.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Response after Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thaian N. Ton/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative, Tanya Arenson, was contacted on 1/6/2011 with a proposed Examiner's Amendment that would place the application in condition for allowance. Attorney Arenson consulted with Applicant and accepted the Examiner's Amendment on 1/12/2011..